## Second Street/Elliott Street Neighborhood Plan Steering Committee SWOT issues with ratings

Issue		Category	Ranking	
Strengths	Score			<u> </u>
Old & established	9	Neighborhood character	19%	
Older, established, unique homes	9	Neighborhood character	19%	
Large lots and trees	8	Neighborhood character	17%	
Safe feeling	7	Neighborhood character	15%	
Quiet	4	Neighborhood character	8%	
Convenient to downtown	3	Economic development	6%	
Proximity to UTA	3	Economic development	6%	
Location	2	Neighborhood character	4%	
Easy access to I-20 and I-30	1	Transportationn	2%	
Low turnover	1	Neighborhood character	2%	
People care about neighborhood	1	Neighborhood character	2%	
Cycling back to younger families	0	Community	0%	
Homes in good shape	0	Neighborhood character	0%	
No school located in neighborhood	0	Community	0%	
Social organization	0	Community	0%	
Uniformity of house size	0	Neighborhood character	0%	48
Officiality of floude size		. reignizerneed endradere	0.0	
Weaknesses				
Lack of standards for new & infill construction	10	Property development	20%	
Lack of architectural guidelines	9	Property development	18%	
		Troperty development	1070	
Property maintenance by renters and owners	8	Code Enforcement	16%	
Lack of green/open space	5	Parks & open space	10%	
Street conditions and maintenance	4	Transportation	8%	
Cut through traffic on Second and Elliott	3	Traffic control	6%	
Disregard for smaller historical homes	3	Neighborhood character	6%	
Water/sewer line conditions	2	Utilities	4%	
Lack of street lighting	1	Public Safety	2%	
Lack of traffic and parking control	1	Traffic control	2%	
No sidewalk on Davis	1	Sidewalks	2%	
No sidewalks	1	Sidewalks	2%	
Vacant lots	1	Property development	2%	
Infrequent street sweeping	0	Streets	0%	
No storm sewer/drainage	0	Utilities	0%	
Reduction of police patrol	0	Public Safety	0%	
Single car garages	0	Neighborhood character	0%	
Train noise	0	Treignborriood character	0%	49
Trail Holse	U		0 70	7 7
Opportunities				
Set future standards	10	Property development	22%	
Support from city for neighborhood plan	9	Economic Development	20%	
Develop relationship with UTA	5	UTA relations	11%	
Future public park/playground	5	Parks & open space	11%	
Neighborhood involvement (newsletters, block				
parties, bi-annual meetings, neighborhood	4			
watch)		Community	9%	

Partnership with UTA on Boring property	4	UTA relations	9%	
Traffic calming measures	4	Traffic control	9%	
Creating pride in neighborhood	3	Community	7%	
PR opportunities for city	2	Economic Development	4%	
Downtown development opportunities	0	Economic Development	0%	
Improve median/landscaping on Parkwood	0	Parks & open space	0%	
Understanding history of neighborhood	0	Neighborhood character	0%	46
Threats				
Multi-family residences affect property values	12	Property development	25%	
New out-of-scale construction	10	Property development	21%	
Subdividing properties	10	Property development	21%	
Boarding/renting to UTA students	6	UTA relations	13%	
Tear downs of existing homes	4	Property development	8%	
Inconsistent, unwanted development	2	Property development	4%	
Lack of crime watch	2	Public Safety	4%	
Home repairs to older homes	1	Code enforcement	2%	
Property values lead to high turnover	1	Community	2%	
Car burglaries	0	Public Safety	0%	
Decline of Division Street	0	Economic Development	0%	
Lack of street lighting	0	Public Safety	0%	
Reduction of police patrol	0	Public Safety	0%	
TXU tree trimming	0	Trees	0%	48